moratorium on existing loans granted to them for purchase of trawlers;

(b) whether these associations have also simultaneously pleaded for more loans and more import of large trawlers; and

(c) what steps Government propose to take to resolve this issue?

THE MINISTER OF STATETN THE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AND COOPERATION IN THE MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (SHRI YO-GENDRA MAKWANA); (a) to (p) Ths Association of Indian Fishery Industries, New Delhi have requested of loans given by erstwhile Shipping Development Fund Committee to fishing companies for acquisition of fishing vessels and payment of interest thereon. They have also requested for providing concessional finance for acquisition of fishing vessels.

As regards moratorium, the fishing companies which have purchased fishing vessels with Shipping Development Fund Committee loans already enjoy the moratorium of one year before commencing repayment. As regards availability of financial assistance for acquisition of fishing vessels and payment of interest there-ment Company of India is making available such assistance on concessional terms.

Amount spent on houses for the landless rural labour

696. SHRi SUKOMAL SEN: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the amount aHocated and spent under the Houses for the Landless Rural Labour Scheme during the last three years, year-wise and State-wise;

(b) the amount allocated and spent during the current year, State-wise;

(c) whether the allocated amount is fully borne by the Union Govern ment; and

(d) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRi DALBIR SINGH): (a) and (b) A statement (state-wise and year-wise) indicating amount allocated and spent under the scheme of the Allotment of House-site-cum-Cons-truction Assistance for Rural Landless 1 Labour during the last 3years i. e. from J 1984-85 to 1986-87 and also amount allocated during the current year i. e. 1987-88 is annexed. (See Appendix CXLIV, Annexure No. 16]. At thi» stage it is not possible to indicate the actual expenditure during 1987-88.

(c) and (d) Housing being a State subject all the social housing schemes are implemented by the State Govt. / UT Admns. However, the Union Govt, only gives plan assistance in the form of block loans and grants for implementing State sector schemes to augment States' own resources.

Families benefited under the bouses for Landless Rural Labours Scheme

697. SHRI MOSTAFA BIN QUA-SEM: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the number of families that have been benefited under the House for Landless Rural Labours Scheme during the last three years; year-I wise and Statewise;

(b) whether there is any propvsal to augment the allocation for this scheme;

(c) if so, the details thereof; and

(d) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI DALBIR SINGH): (a) A statement (state-wise) indicating the number of families that have been benefited under the scheme of the Allotment of House-sites and Construction Assistance to the Rural Landless Labours during the last 3 years i. e. from 1984-85 to 1986-87, fe annexed. *(See* Appendix CXLIV, Annexure No. 17]

(b) Presently there is no such proposal *to* augment the allocation for this scheme.

Co) Not applicable.

(d) The achievements during the 7th Plan period (upto 30th Sept., 87) have been above the targets fixed in respect of the number of beneficiaries.

Flood situation in West Bengal

698. SHRI MOSTAFA BIN QUA-SEM: Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware of severe flood situation in West Bengal;

(b) if so, the total loss suffered by the State;

(c) whether a Central team had visited the affected parts to assess the extent of loss caused;

(d) if so, the details and financial assistance sanctioned by the Union Government for relief measures;

(e) whether floods are a permanent feature in the State; and

(f) if so, the permanent measures taken in this direction?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AND COOPERATION IN THE MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (SHRI YO-GENDRA MAKWANA): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The value of damages to public and private property reported by the state government is Rs. 439. 93 crores, besides the loss of 156 human lives and 1391 heads of cattle.

(c) and (d) A Central team visited the State from 5th to 7th September, 1987 to make an on the spot assessment of the situation. On the basis of the report of the Central Team and recommendations of the High Level Committee on Relief, a ceiling of expenditure of Rs. 67. 72 crores has been approved to the State Government. In addition Rs. 0. 06 crores for increasing vegetable production has also been approved to the State Government.

(e) Flood conditions of varying severity have been reported by the Government of West Bengal for the last five years.

(f) It is for the State Government to take nscessarysteps to combat the situation created by flood conditions. The Government of India only supplements the efforts of the State Govprn-ment by providing Central assistance to tackle the situation effectively.

स्वयं वित्तीय योजना IV के लिए दिल्ली विकास प्राधिकरण के पास जमा राशियों पर ब्याज

699. **श्री सुनील कुमार पट्टनायक :** क्या **शहरी विकास** मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या यह सच है कि दिल्ली विकास प्राधिकरण द्वारा विभिन्न कालोनियों में स्वयं-वित्तीय योजना IV के अन्तर्गत जो मकान आवंटित किए गए थे वे मकान कुछ कालोनियों में दिल्ली विकास प्राधिकरण और उसके ठेकेदारों के बीच झगड़े तथा सांठगांठ के कारण समय पर नहीं सौंपे गए ;

(ख) यदि हां, तो क्या यह भी सच है कि उन आवंटितियों को जमा राशि पर इस विलम्ब के कारण बीते हुए समय के लिये कोई ब्याज नहीं दिया जा रहा है ;

(ग) यदि नहीं, तो उसके क्या कारण हैं और क्या सरकार स्वयं वित्तीय योजना-IV के आवंटितियों को उनकी जमा धन-राशि पर ब्याज देने का विचार रखती है, यदि हां, तो कब तक : क्या इस सम्बन्ध में किसी अनियमितता का पता लगा है ; और

(घ) यदि हां, तो इस सम्बन्ध में ब्यौरा क्या है ?

शहरी विकास मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री दलबीर सिंह) : (क) दिल्ली विकास प्राधिकरण ने उत्तर दिया है कि दिल्ली वि ास प्राधिकरण और ठेकेदारों के मध्य विवाद तथा सांठ-गांठ का कोई मामला उनके ध्यान में नहीं आ ा है जिस स्व-वित्त ा षत योजन IV के अन्तर्गत आवंटियों को मकान का कब्जा देने में विलम्ब हुआ हो ।